NIRI Needs Your Help to Defeat the SEC’s 13F Proposal
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SPEAKERS

➢ Gary A. LaBranche, FASAE, CAE, President and CEO, NIRI *(moderator)*
➢ Patrick Davidson, Senior Vice President, Investor Relations, *Oshkosh Corp.*, and Chair of NIRI’s Advocacy Committee
➢ Dave Dragics, retired IRO and NIRI Capital Area Advocacy Ambassador
➢ Niels Holch, Partner, *Holch & Erickson* (NIRI’s outside lobbyist)
➢ Ted Allen, Vice President, Communications and Member Engagement, *NIRI*
CONCERNS ABOUT THE SEC’S 13F PROPOSAL

➢ Increases 13F threshold by **35 times**, which far exceeds rate of inflation
➢ Would exempt 4,500 (**89 percent** of current 13F filers) from disclosure
➢ Would inhibit issuer-investor engagement, especially for small and mid-cap issuers
➢ Would expose public companies to ambush activism by hedge funds, which is expected to increase in 2021-22
➢ Proposal does not include any reforms (reduce 45-day delay, include shorts, monthly disclosure) to improve transparency
➢ Proposal ignores the intent of Congress to **require more disclosure** from 13F filers
➢ The SEC did not seek the views of issuers before proposing this rule
➢ Retail investors overwhelmingly **oppose** increasing the threshold to $3.5B
➢ Would deter private companies from going public or prompt them to list overseas (U.K., Germany, Australia, and even China provide more transparency)
HOW YOU CAN HELP NIRI ON 13F

➢ Sign on to NIRI’s joint issuer comment Letter to the SEC (By August 12)
➢ Ask your company to write its own letter to the SEC that explains the direct impact on your company
➢ Ask your Government Affairs team to reach out to U.S. Senate and House lawmakers, especially those on Senate Banking or the House Financial Services Committee
➢ Ask Your Government Affairs team to share your concerns with other industry groups (U.S. Chamber, NAM, BIO, etc.)
➢ Share your concerns with your exchange
➢ Encourage your chapter to submit its own letter on 13F to the SEC and Members of Congress
➢ IR counselors are encouraged to send in their own letters
POINTS FOR COMPANY SEC LETTERS

➢ Endorsement of NIRI National’s comment letter (and others opposed to the rule)
➢ Significant reliance of the company on 13F filings as the **only accurate source of institutional holdings available**
➢ Number of institutional holders and outstanding shares of company; number of current holders and shares, with percent, that would avoid disclosure
➢ Would result in significant loss of market transparency of company’s holders
➢ Less effective investor engagement due to fewer 13F forms filed
➢ Negative impact on the company if unable to afford stock surveillance services (*if applicable*)
➢ Potential exposure of company to post-pandemic surge of “ambush activism” (*if applicable*)
➢ Instead of proposed rule, recommend modernization of 13F disclosure regime
POINTS FOR COMPANY LETTERS TO CONGRESS

➢ Company location, size, and number of employees
➢ Opposed to proposed 13F reporting threshold rule; agree with points made in SEC Commissioner Lee’s statement (i.e., questioning of statutory authority)
➢ Significant reliance of the company on 13F filings as the only accurate source of institutional holdings available
➢ Number of institutional holders and outstanding shares of company; number of current holders and shares, with percent, that would avoid disclosure
➢ Would result in significant loss of market transparency of company’s holders
➢ Less effective investor engagement due to fewer 13F forms filed
➢ Negative impact on the company if unable to afford stock surveillance services (if applicable)
➢ Potential exposure of company to post-pandemic surge of “ambush activism” (if applicable)
➢ Instead of proposed rule, recommend modernization of 13F disclosure regime
POINTS FOR CHAPTER SEC LETTERS

- Number of companies and total market capitalization represented by the chapter
- Endorsement of NIRI National’s comment letter
- Significant reliance of member companies on 13F filings as the only accurate source of institutional holdings available
- Would result in significant loss of market transparency nationally and among member companies
- Negative impact on member companies unable to afford stock surveillance services
- Less effective issuer-investor engagement due to fewer 13F forms filed
- Potential exposure of member companies to post-pandemic surge of “ambush activism” (if applicable)
- Instead of proposed rule, recommend modernization of 13F disclosure regime
POINTS FOR CHAPTER LETTERS TO CONGRESS

➢ Number of companies and total market capitalization represented by the chapter
➢ Opposed to proposed 13F reporting threshold rule; agree with points made in SEC Commissioner Lee’s statement (i.e., questioning of SEC’s statutory authority)
➢ Significant reliance of member companies on 13F filings as the only accurate source of institutional holdings available
➢ Would result in significant loss of market transparency nationally and among member companies
➢ Negative impact on member companies unable to afford stock surveillance services
➢ Less effective issuer-investor engagement due to fewer 13F forms filed
➢ Potential exposure of member companies to post-pandemic surge of “ambush activism” (if applicable)
➢ Instead of proposed rule, recommend modernization of 13F disclosure regime
OUR CALL TO ACTION

What you can do

➢ Sign on to the NIRI National issuer letter by emailing Ted Allen (tallen@niri.org)
➢ Sign on to your chapter letter
➢ Send a letter from your company
➢ Drive awareness and advocate for our shared position
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